

PSMBFI PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM (PAF) Manager

Guidelines

PART I - PERFORMANCE AGAINST OBJECTIVES

- 1. List all agreed KRAs for the year and indicate the performance or results standards for each objective.
- 2. Based on a 100% total weight, indicate the weight of each objective relative to the others. The weight should depend on the degree of complexity of achieving the objectives, the time needed to get the results and the impact on the department.
- 3. Both superior and subordinate will do the rating and must agree to a final rating for each set target.
- 4. Rate the performance against the standards with consideration to changes in priorities, factors outside the employees' control and any unusual conditions that may have affected the performance/results using the following scale:

DEGREE RATING	PERFORMANCE	DESCRIPTION
5	OUTSTANDING	Performs exceptionally and consistently; exceeds overall performance expectations (Key Result Areas exceeded and delivered ahead of time)
4	ABOVE AVERAGE	Exceeds performance expectations (delivered expected results ahead of time or exceeded expected results on time)
3	AVERAGE	Expected results were achieved as scheduled
2	BELOW AVERAGE	Marginally meets expectations; unable to deliver/achieve expected results on time.
1	POOR	Did not meet expectations and job requirements.

- 5. Under remarks , provide comments on how the results were obtained effectiveness and timeliness of implementation of action plans and/or difficulties in delivering the results.
- 6. Add all weighted score to get the total rating.

PART II - VALUES BASED BEHAVIOR

- 1. Both superior and subordinate will do the rating and must agree to a final rating for each listed value using the prescribed scale (1-5).
- 2. To get the **weighted score** per Value, multiply the average rating with the assigned weight. All the six (6) Corporate Values are given equal weights of 16.66% each.
- 3. Add all weighted score to get the total rating for the Values-Based behavior.

PART III - REGULAR RESPONSIBILITIES

- 1. Refer to the basic/common responsibilities of the job as listed.
- 2. Make the expectations very clear and agree on how the rating will be made or assessed.
- 3. Under remarks , provide comments on how the results were obtained.
- 4. Add all weighted score to get the total rating for the Regular Responsibilities.

PART IV - DEVELOPMENTAL PLANS

- 1 List specific areas the ratee has to work on or further develop for work improvement.
- 2 Indicate the suggested and/or agreed upon development strategies and the target date/s of completion.

PART V - OVERALL ASSESSMENT

- 1. It is the summary of Parts 1-4
- 2. Get the descriptive scale or refer to Part 1 Section 4



PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FOR MANAGER

EMPLOYEE NAME:				DATE HIRE	D:				
POSITION TITLE:			RATING PERIOD: 1st Half (Jan-Jun) (Jul-Dec)						
DEPARTMENT:			EVALUATED BY:						
PART I - PERFORMANCE AGAINST O	BJECTIVES								
The objective of this part is to provide	employees wi	th clear, fact	rual, and ho	nest feedbacl	k about the	ir overall p	erformance.		
	A	В		С		D	E		F
KEY RESULT AREAS & TARGETED			C1	RATING C2	С3		WTD SCORE	REM.	ARKS
DELIVERABLES	MEASURES	TARGETS		SUPERIOR	FINAL	WT	(C3 x D)	STAFF	SUPERIOR
KRA-1: Targeted Deliverables:							0		
KRA-2: Targeted Deliverables:							0		
KRA-3: Targeted Deliverables:							0		
KRA-4:							 		
Targeted Deliverables:							0		
	TOTA	L				0%	0		
I hereby acknowledge that all the targe Conformed by:	eted deliverab	les listed abo	ove are agr	eed upon by ı	me and my Noted by:	superior.			
Ratee Signature over printed					Signatur	Rater e over prin	ted name		
To be filled-up during Mid-year Asses	<u>ssment:</u>								
☐ Mid-year assessment results to	changes in the	e agreed KR <i>F</i>	As and targ	eted deliveral	bles for the	remainde	r of the evalu	ation period.	
Iten	n changed		_					stification	
1				_					
3				- -					
☐ No change in the agreed KRAs a	and targeted do	eliverables fo	or the rem	ainder of the o	evaluation p	period.			
Conforme:					Conforme:	:			
Ratee Sianature over printed	– name				Sjanatur	Rater re over prin	ted name		



PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FOR MANAGER

EMPLOYEE NAME:	RATING PERIOD:	EVALUATED BY:

PART II - VALUES-BASED BEHAVIORS

Please assess your subordinates' behaviors using the following and cite critical incidents to support the rating:

- 1 Hardly demonstrated the expected behaviors.
- 2 Occasionally/Sometimes demonstrated the expected behaviors
- 3 Fairly often demonstrated the expected behaviors
- 4 Usually/Most of the time demonstrated the expected behaviors
- 5 Consistently/regularly demonstrated the expected behaviors

	A			В	С	D	
CORPORATE WORK VALUES	RATING				WTD	REMARKS	
TEAMWORK / COOPERATION	STAFF	A2 SUPERIOR	FINAL AGREED RATING	WT	(A3 x B)	STAFF	SUPERIOR
Mobilizes team, builds momentum to get things done by communicating clearly and investing time and energy to engage the whole department.				16.66%	0		
SERVICE EXCELLENCE							
Goes out of his or her way to ensure customer satisfaction. Processes both routine and nonroutine internal and external customer concerns in ways that result in a high degree of customer satisfaction.				16.66%	0		
WORK QUALITY AND COMPETENCE							
Maintains above standards output despite of deadlines and regularly produces accurate, thorough and professional work.				16.66%	0		
INITIATIVE AND PRO-ACTIVENESS							
Anticipates what is needed and necessary in the workplace and undertakes additional responsibilities in the department.				16.66%	0		
DEPENDABILITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY							
Manages department's time effectively and holds self and others accountable for achieving established performance expectations.				16.66%	0		
DECISION MAKING AND JUDGEMENT							
Displays just and objective approach in decision making within the department.				16.66%	0		
TOTAL				100%	0		



PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FOR MANAGER

EMPLOYEE NAME:	RATING PERIOD:	EVALUATED BY:

PART III - REGULAR RESPONSIBILITIES

		Α		В	С	I)
		RATING	A3		WTD	REMARKS	
REGULAR RESPONSIBILITIESS	A1	A1 A2			SCORE	TEL-MINIS	
	STAFF	SUPERIOR	FINAL AGREED RATING	WT	(A3 x B)	STAFF	SUPERIOR
REGULAR RESPONSIBILITIES-1							
Monitors daily activities of the department to ensure that targets are being met.				25%	0		
REGULAR RESPONSIBILITIES-2 Reviews and signifies approval within the department such as but not limited to inter office memoranda, external communications, permits for OB, OT, Leave Applications etc.				25%	0		
REGULAR RESPONSIBILITIES-3 Formulates and communicates plans and policies to achieve department's objectives.				25%	0		
REGULAR RESPONSIBILITIES 4 Prepares committee reports and presents it to company Executives and members of the Board of Trustees.				25%	0		
TO'	ΓAL	-		100%	0		

PART IV: DEVE	LOPMENTAL AREAS					1997
Competency Gap (Areas for Improvement)	Strategic Developm	nent Plan	Target	Date		Remarks

PART V: OVERAL	LL ASSESSMENT:			DESCRIPTI	VE SCAI	LE:
PART I	KEY RESULT AREAS	400/)	0	5.00	5 -	OUTSTANDING
PART II	(Total Weighted Score x 4 VALUES-BASED BEHAVI (Total Weighted Score x 4	IORS	0	4.00-4.99	4> -	ABOVE AVERAGE
PART III	(Total Weighted Score x 4 DAILY RESPONSIBILITIE (Total Weighted Score x 7)	ES	0	3.00-3.99	3> -	AVERAGE
	(Total Weighted Score x 2 OVERALL RATING	20%)	0	1.00-2.99	<3 -	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT
Rater's Signatur	e over printed name:	Ratee's S	Signature over	r printed na	me:	
Signature over pr	rinted name Date	e Signatur	e over printed	name		Date
President & CEO						
Signature over pr	inted name	Date				
	PLEASE	ACCOMPLIS	CH UNTIL THIS	PORTION O	NLY	
OVERALL RECOM	MENDATION					
Type of Evaluation	n					
Regulariz	ation	Promotion	1		Ro	outine Annual Evaluation
	Yes, recommended for regularization		Yes, recommended promotion	ed for		Subject for Performance Improvement Program (PIP)
	No, recommended for end of contract		No, recommende reinstatement to			Passed annual performance standards
Recommended by	r:		Approved by:	:		
Department I	Head Date	e	General Ma	nager/ RO	_	Date
Recorded by:						
HR Represent	ative Date	e				
HRD's Remarks:						
	Not applicable - 2nd month of For endorsement to PERCON Others	_	у			